

#### MARK CHURCH

CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER & ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER

### **Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form**

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a **typed** rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers. Please make sure you are using proper format before submitting to the Elections Office.

Word o	count limit fo	r Rebuttal /	Arguments = 250 wor	rds
Ballot l	Measure	G	for the	to be held on
⊠ Re	buttal to Arg	ument in F	avor of Measure	C Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure
Sign	ed by Same	Individual	(s) Selected for the	Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument
				lividual(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information lowing box and <b>skip</b> the back side of this form.
×	Rebuttal A Primary Ar		Signed by Same Ind	lividual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the
		erson's Prin	ited Name: W. A, H / N	TREE
	Phone:			E <u>mail:</u>
	ed by Differ ary Argume		ual(s) than Individu	al(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the
signe attack	rs are new for	or the rebut authorization	tal argument, please	prize any other person or persons to sign the rebuttal argument. If check the following box, complete the back side of this form and Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the
	Rebuttal A	rgument Is	Signed by New Sign	ers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)
	Contact Pe	erson's Prin	ted Name:	
	MA	1RK W	A. HINKLE	
	Phone:			Email:

Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form				
No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.				
Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title. Honorifics such as M.D., Dr., Esquire, etc. with a name are not accepted.			of the	
If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association. By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. <b>Type</b> information clearly.			Check one of the boxes below	
1. Name: Title: PRESIDENT - SILICON MARK W.A. HINKLE Valley Taxpayers ASSOCIATION			He/ His: \(\sum_{\text{\ti}\text{\texi{\text{\texi}\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi{\text{\texi}\texi{\text{\texi}\text{\texi{\text{\text{\text{\text{\text{\texi}\text{\texi}\texit{\t	
Phone				
Adduses	MORG	FAN STILL CA 95037	Her:	
Signa		Date: /2 -/9.23	They/ Them:	
2. Name:		Title:	He/ His:	
Phone:		Email:	She/ Her:	
Address:				
Signature:		Date:	They/ Them: 🗍	
3. Name:		Title:	He/ His:	
Phone:		Email:	She/	
Address:			Her:	
Signature:		Date:	They/ Them:	
4. Name:		Title:	He/ His:	
Phone:		Email:	She/	
Address:		Her:		
Signature:		Date:	They/ Them:	
5. Name:		Title:	He/ His:	
Phone:		Email:	She/	
Address:			Her:	
Signature:		Date:	They/ Them:	

Troj	Rebuttal Argu	ment Signers Form	Pron	ouns
	more than five signatures shall appear with ar mitted, the first five listed shall be printed.	ny argument. If more than five signatures are		
	Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title. Honorifics such as M.D., Dr., Esquire, etc. with a name are not accepted.			
If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association. By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. <b>Type</b> information clearly.			Check one of the	poxes belo
1.	Name:	Title:	He/ His:	
Pho	one: Email:		She/	
Add	lress:		Her:	Ш
Sigi	nature:	Date:	They/ Them	
2.	Name:	Title:	He/	
	Christopher C Minoletti	Chair Pro Tempore - Libertarian Party of San Mateo County	His:	$\checkmark$
Pho	ress:	Email:	She/ Her:	
Auc	San Mateo, CA 94402			13-13
Sign	nature	Date: 12/19/2023	They/ Them	
3.	Name:	Title:	He/ His:	
Pho	ne:	Email:	She/	
Ado	ress:		Her:	Ш
Sign	nature:	Date:	They/ Them	
4.	Name:	Title:	He/ His:	
Pho	ne:	Email:	She/	
Ado	ress:		Her:	
Sign	nature:	Date:	They/ Them	
5.	Name:	Title:	He/ His:	
Pho	Phone: Email:		She/ Her:	
Address:				
Sign	nature:	Date:	They/ Them:	





	Rebuttal Arg	ument Signers Form	Prono	uns
	more than five signatures shall appear with a mitted, the first five listed shall be printed.	any argument. If more than five signatures are		
Nar title	Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title. Honorifics such as M.D., Dr., Esquire, etc. with a name are not accepted.			
sigr ass	ner's name shall list the name of that bona fic	i, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the de association and may include their position within that I state that they have read the argument and believe it clearly.	Check one of the	DOXES DEIL
1.	Name:	Title:	He/ His:	
Pho	one:	Email:	She/	
Add	iress:		Her:	
Sig	nature:	Date:	They/ Them:	
2.	Name:	Title:	He/ His:	
Pho		Email:	She/ Her:	
Add	dress:			٦
Sig	nature:	Date:	They/ Them:	
3.	Name: Christopher Robell	Title: San Mateo Community College District CBOC Men	He/ ¿His:	
Pho	one:	Email:	She/ Her:	П
Add	dress	Redwood City 94061		_
Sig	natu	Redwood (ity 9406)  Date: 12-19-23	They/ Them:	
4.	Name.	Title:	He/ His:	
Pho	ne:	Email:	She/ Her:	
Add	lress:			
Sigi	nature: Date:		They/ Them:	
5.	Name:	Title:	He/ His:	
Pho		Email:	She/ Her:	
Add	lress:			
Signature: Date:		Date:	They/ Them:	



#### Mark Church

CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER & ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER

# Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Arguments

Pursuant to California Elections Code §§9167, 9317 and 9504, the author(s) of the primary argument in favor of or against a measure may authorize in writing *any other person or persons* to sign the rebuttal argument.

The undersigned author(s) of the prima	ry argument hereby authorize(s) t	the following
individual(s) to sign (up to five) the rebu	uttal argument to the primary argu	ument in favor
of/against (circle one) Measure	_ for the Election to be held on _	(date of election)
NEW SIGNER(S) (PRINT CLEARLY):		
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:	hristopher Robell	
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:		
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:		93
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:		
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:		
(The new signers listed here must sign Form)	the Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argu	ment Submission
NAME(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF THE PRI	MARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S):	
MARK W.A. HINKLE		/ 2 - / 9 - 2 3 Date
Printed Name and Signature of Author		Date
Printed Name and Signature of Author		Date

246

### THIS VERSION'S WORDS ARE FORMATED CORRECTLY – WORD COUNT MAY SEEM INFLATED BUT DON'T WORRY]

## Pacifica School District \$70M Bond Tax: Measure G Rebuttal to Argument in Favor

Measure G is a Prop. 39 bond measure: a mere 55% of voters can authorize its whopping / \$144.9 million in total debt burdens.
California's constitution allows Prop. 39 bond measures to fund only SCHOOL FACILITIES, NOT WORKFORCE HOUSING. (Article XIII-A)
Pacifica School District's housing gambit may be unlawful.
Do teachers even WANT to live where they work? Apparently not.
San Mateo County Community College District and Jefferson Union High School District have drawn teachers into LESS THAN HALF of their housing units. (The former's units are over 18% vacant!) 7
Enrollment declined by over 352* — a sign Pacifica School District needs <u>FEWER faculty</u> 1 and staff, not extreme, new recruiting methods.
With shabby academics and declining enrollment, does Pacifica School District imagine it can // perform better at landlording than it does at educating?
It seems Pacifica School District is proposing repairs similar to 2018's.
The place for known, recurring maintenance expenses is the annual budget—not decades-long debt borne by your children.
Repairs that Pacifica School District knows they need every 5 years should be budgeted! \[ \text{None of their bullet- pointed projects are emergencies justifying skyrocketing the cost through } \[ \text{borrowing.} \]
Proponents assert no funds can go to administrators' salaries." A technicality.
If you grant Pacifica School District this "CREDIT CARD" to cover Measure G's projects.   their regular budget NO LONGER HAS TO. The district would be free to divert budget funds to administrator salaries!   Please don't be misled.
Vote NO on Measure G.

You can be FOR children, FOR teachers, and FOR education—and vote AGAINST Measure G. [4]
Silicon Valley Taxpayers Association's volunteers fight for the rights of taxpayers throughout \$\frac{9}{2}\$
San Mateo County! Visit SVTaxpayers.org. \$\frac{3}{2}\$

\*Since 2018-19 (Education Data Partnership; Ed-Data.org)

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

DEC 1 9 2023

